



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/009,573	12/12/2001	Herve Sainct	Q 67618	Q 67618 9696	
23373	7590 06/30/2005		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			SHARMA, SUJATHA R		
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2684		
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/009,573 SAINCT, HERVE		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sujatha Sharma	2684	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	

	Sujatha Sharma	2684	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amenda condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearmentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The a)</li> </ol>	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, wanted all fee) in compliance with 37 CFR or exply must be filed within one of t	vhich places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	cation in st for Continued
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appe was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 C has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time peri- AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	.37 must be filed within two month FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	he Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		TE below);	
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate,	-	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil ided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>			ice because:
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> <li>Other:</li> </ol>	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	
,			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that the secondary reference Cesaro does not disclose a method of replacing the radio relay with an aircraft. The examiner respectfully disagrees and draws the applicant's attention to the Cesaro reference col. 1, lines 14-18 where he tecahes a method of removing the relay system from the ground and replacing that with airborne apparatus. Therefore the combination of Baum and Cesaro reads on the limitations of the independent claim 7.

Sujaha Sharma 571-272-7886 6/23/05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES